

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/677,968	10/03/2000	Shinichi Morimoto	P/3156-18	4531	
7590 03/11/2005			EXAMINER		
STEVEN I. WEISBURD, ESQ. DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS- 41ST FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714			LIPMAN, JACOB		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2134		
		DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/677,968	MORIMOTO, SHINICHI
Examiner	Art Unit
Jacob Lipman	2134

	Examiner	Art Unit	ł
	Jacob Lipman	2134	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
 THE REPLY FILED 24 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A 1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th a) ☐ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aband Iment, affidavit, or other evidence, v eal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e reply must be filed within one of t	donment of this applic which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in st for Continued
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Apperature.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offite of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	is of the date of filing	the Notice of
AMENDMENTS 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			the issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	·	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 5-11. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 12-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 22-25.		II be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)



Continuation of 3. NOTE: The final limitation added to claim 1 is a new issue.

GREGORY MORSE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100